

Tasmanian Acute Public Hospitals Healthcare Associated Infection Surveillance Report

March 2010

Report No: 4 (Period ending 31st Dec 09)

Editors

- Brett Mitchell, Director TIPCU
- Dr Alistair McGregor, Specialist Medical Advisor, TIPCU
- Saffron Brown, Clinical Nurse Consultant, TIPCU
- Anne Wells, Clinical Nurse Consultant, TIPCU

Notes

- **Commonly used terms and acronyms are defined in the Glossary section at the end of this report**
- **This report does not contain the methodology used to collect the data. Protocols relating to the surveillance programs are published on the TIPCU website, www.dhhs.tas.gov.au**
- **An explanatory document is available on the TIPCU website. This document provides insight into understanding the surveillance report**

Contents

Executive Summary	4
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> bacteraemia (bloodstream infection)	5
Tasmanian Rate	5
Hospital Rates	6
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> Infection	7
Tasmanian Rate	7
Hospital Rates	7
Vancomycin Resistant Enterococcus (VRE)	8
Tasmanian Numbers	8
Hospital Numbers	8
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> Sensitivity	9
Tasmanian Rate	9
Hospital Rates	9
Hand Hygiene Compliance Data	10
Tasmanian Rates	10
Hospital Rates	11
Antibiotic Utilisation Surveillance	12
Acknowledgements	13
Appendix	14
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> bacteraemia	14
<i>Clostridium difficile</i> Infection	16
<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> Sensitivity Data	17
Hand Hygiene Compliance Data	17

Executive Summary

This surveillance report describes data relating to a number of key Healthcare Associated Infection (HAI) 'indicators'. It is the intention of the Tasmanian Infection Prevention & Control Unit (TIPCU) to publish this report quarterly.

The TIPCU website (www.dhhs.tas.gov.au) contains details of the surveillance program, including the rationale for the indicators surveyed and the methodologies used in data collection, validation and analysis. These details are not contained in this report but are freely available online should further information be required. In addition, an explanatory document has been developed to accompany this surveillance report. The explanatory document provides insight into how to interpret data contained in this report.

The Appendices contain more detailed information.

The key findings of this report are:

- The quarterly rate of healthcare associated *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia has remained steady
- The rate of *Clostridium difficile* infection has increased in the last quarter. Rates of *Clostridium difficile* infection can fluctuate considerably each quarter, so no conclusions can be drawn at this stage. The rolling average has also increased but importantly remains lower than 12 months ago
- The number of people identified with VRE has remained constant
- The percentage of clinical *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates that are methicillin resistant (MRSA) is only measured once a year. Therefore data in this report is the same as the previous report (No.2)
- The rate of hand hygiene compliance has increased.

Mr Brett Mitchell

Director, TIPCU



Dr Alistair McGregor

Specialist Medical Advisor, TIPCU



* Bed day activity data used in the last reported quarter is correct at the time of printing. It is however, subject to change, due to the implementation of a new patient administration system. The bed day data used is consistent with previous quarters and therefore if any change is required, it is expected to be very minor.

Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia (bloodstream infection)

Tasmanian Rate

Figure 1 and 2 (and tables contained in the Appendix) outline the Tasmanian rates of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia (all acute public hospitals combined).

The average (mean) rate of Healthcare Associated *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia is 0.46 per 1000 separations (95% CI 0.35-0.57), (Figure 1).

The average (mean) rate of Community Associated *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia is 0.56 per 1000 separations (95% CI 0.44-0.68), (Figure 2).

Figure 1 - Healthcare Associated *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia






Figure 2 – Community Associated *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia



Hospital Rates

Figure 3 (and tables contained in the Appendix) outlines the rate of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia in each of Tasmania's acute public hospitals.

Figure 3 - Healthcare Associated *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia




Clostridium difficile Infection

Tasmanian Rate

Figure 4 (and tables contained in the Appendix) outlines the rate of *Clostridium difficile* infection in each of Tasmania's acute public hospitals.

The average (mean) rate of *Clostridium difficile* infection is 0.97 per 1000 separations (95% CI 0.94-1.00), (Figure 4).


Figure 4 – Clostridium difficile Infection



Hospital Rates

Figure 5 (and tables contained in the Appendix) outlines the rate of *Clostridium difficile* infection in each of Tasmania's acute public hospitals, (Figure 5).

Figure 5 – Hospital Rates of Clostridium difficile Infection



Vancomycin Resistant Enterococcus (VRE)

Tasmanian Numbers

Table 1 – Number of People Identified with VRE per Quarter

Year	Quarter	Colonisation	Infection	Total*
2006 [^]	N/A	Unknown	Unknown	1
2007 [^]	N/A	Unknown	Unknown	7
2008 [^]	1	12	1	13
	2	27	4	32
	3	10	2	12
	4	16	2	18
2009 [^]	5	7	0	9
	6	13	1	14
	7	3	1	4
	8	5	0	5

* Total does not necessarily equal colonisation plus infection due to unknown cases

[^] Calendar year. 2009 up until Dec 31st 2009.

Hospital Numbers

Table 2 – Number of People Identified with VRE by Acute Public Hospital

Quarter		Hospital A		Hospital B		Hospital C		Hospital D	
		Col.	Inf.	Col.	Inf.	Col.	Inf.	Col.	Inf.
2008 [^]	1	10	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	2	15	2	6	-	6	1	-	-
	3	1	-	1	-	8	2	-	-
	4	2	1	8	1	5	-	-	-
2009 [^]	5	-	-	4	-	3	-	2	-
	6	7	1	-	-	2	-	4	-
	7	1	-	-	-	-	1	2	-
	8	2	-	2	-	1	-	-	-

Col=Colonisation, Inf=Infection

* Total does not necessarily equal colonisation plus infection due to unknown cases

[^] Calendar year. 2009 up until Dec 31st 2009.

Staphylococcus aureus Sensitivity

(NOT UPDATED FROM SURVEILLANCE REPORT NO 2)

Tasmanian Rate

Table 3 - Patients in Hospital > 48 hours

	2008	2009
Total Number of Isolates Examined	295	268
Percentage of Staphylococcus aureus isolates that were MRSA	19.7 %	22.8%
Number MSSA	237	207
Number MRSA	58	61
Mean Age	70.6 years	59.3 years
Mean Time between Admission Date and Specimen Collection Date	19 days	15 days

Table 4 - Patients in Hospital < 48 hours (all patients)

	2008	2009
Total Number of Isolates Examined	1337	1228
Percentage of Staphylococcus aureus isolates that were MRSA	7.1%	9.9%
Number MSSA	1242	1107
Number MRSA	95	121
Mean Age	52.9 years	46.5 years

Hospital Rates

Table 5 - Patients in Hospital > 48 hours

	2008 % Isolates MRSA (total number examined in brackets*)	2009 % Isolates MRSA (total number examined in brackets*)
Hospital A	6% (100)	13% (100)
Hospital B	36% (100)	35% (100)
Hospital C	19.7% (61)	26% (38)
Hospital D	11.8% (34)	10.0%(30)


* 100 consecutive isolates were included or 6 months of continuous data, whichever occurred first

Hand Hygiene Compliance Data

Data is based on the 2nd Hand Hygiene Data Submission, November 2009.


Tasmanian Rates

Hand Hygiene Compliance Rate in Tasmanian Public Hospital (Figure 6)




(all Tasmanian Public Hospitals)

Hand Hygiene Compliance Rate by State/Territory (Figure 7)



^ HISWA Report No.4 – includes all hospitals (including private)
Tasmanian rate is for public hospitals only.


Hand Hygiene Compliance by Healthcare Worker (Figure 8)



Key

AH – Allied Health
 BL – Blood letter (phlebotomist)
 DR – Doctor
 RN – Registered/Enrolled Nurse
 O – Other
 PSA – Patient Service Assistant
 SAH – Student Allied Health
 SDR – Student doctor
 SRN – Student Nurse

Hand Hygiene Compliance by Moment (Figure 9)




Key

Moment 1 – Before touching a patient
 Moment 2 – Before a procedure
 Moment 3 – After a procedure or body fluid exposure
 Moment 4 – After touching a patient
 Moment 5 – After touching a patients' surroundings

Hospital Rates

Hand Hygiene Compliance Rate by Hospital (Figure 10)

Please Note – Rural hospitals audit considerably less moments



Antibiotic Utilisation Surveillance

Future Reports

Future reports will also include the rates of antibiotic utilisation in acute hospitals.

Acknowledgements

The production of this report is the culmination of work from a number of different organisations. In particular, we would like to acknowledge:

- Launceston General Hospital Infection Control Team and Director of Nursing
- Royal Hobart Hospital Infection Control Team and Director of Nursing
- North West Area Health Service Infection Control Team and Executive Director of Nursing
- Microbiology Departments at the Royal Hobart Hospital, Launceston General Hospital, DSPL and Gribbles Pathology
- Hand Hygiene Australia
- Communicable Disease Prevention Unit, Population Health
- Contributing Primary Health Sites
- Epidemiology Unit, Population Health

Appendix

Staphylococcus aureus bacteraemia

Table 1 – Tasmanian Numbers and Rates of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia (July 2008 to Dec 2009)

Quarter	HCA Total		HCA Inpatients		HCA Non Inpatients		HCA MRSA		Community	
	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*
1	16	0.65	11	0.45	5	0.20	6	0.24	13	0.53
2	15	0.59	10	0.40	5	0.20	2	0.08	9	0.36
3	12	0.50	6	0.25	6	0.25	4	0.17	17	0.70
4	8	0.32	3	0.12	5	0.20	2	0.08	10	0.39
5	8	0.31	5	0.20	3	0.12	2	0.08	14	0.55
6	10	0.42	7	0.29	3	0.13	1	0.04	21	0.88

* Rate is the number of patients per 1000 Separations

Table 2 – Hospital A - Numbers and Rates of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia (July 2008 to Dec 2009)

Quarter	HCA Total		HCA Inpatients		HCA Non Inpatients		HCA MRSA		Community	
	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*
1	5	0.45	5	0.45	0	0.00	3	0.27	6	0.55
2	7	0.61	5	0.44	2	0.17	1	0.09	4	0.35
3	5	0.46	2	0.18	3	0.27	1	0.09	4	0.36
4	2	0.17	2	0.17	0	0.00	1	0.09	1	0.09
5	1	0.09	1	0.09	0	0.00	1	0.09	7	0.62
6	8	0.70	5	0.44	3	0.26	1	0.09	6	0.52

* Rate is the number of patients per 1000 Separations

**Table 3 – Hospital B - Numbers and Rates of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia
(July 2008 to Dec 2009)**

Quarter	HCA Total		HCA Inpatients		HCA Non Inpatients		HCA MRSA		Community	
	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*
1	3	0.32	0	0.00	3	0.32	2	0.22	3	0.32
2	6	0.63	3	0.32	3	0.32	1	0.11	2	0.21
3	5	0.56	3	0.34	2	0.22	2	0.22	8	0.90
4	3	0.33	1	0.11	2	0.22	0	0.00	6	0.65
5	3	0.31	1	0.10	2	0.21	1	0.1	4	0.42
6	2	0.25	2	0.25	0	0.00	0	0.00	12	1.47

* Rate is the number of patients per 1000 Separations

**Table 4 – Hospital C - Numbers and Rates of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia
(July 2008 to Dec 2009)**

Quarter	HCA Total		HCA Inpatients		HCA Non Inpatients		HCA MRSA		Community	
	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*
1	5	2.15	3	1.29	2	0.86	0	0.00	1	0.43
2	1	0.44	1	0.44	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.44
3	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.45	4	1.80
4	2	0.88	0	0.00	2	0.88	1	0.44	3	1.32
5	1	0.44	0	0.00	1	0.44	0	0.00	2	0.88
6	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	0.92

* Rate is the number of patients per 1000 Separations

**Table 5 – Hospital D - Numbers and Rates of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteraemia
(July 2008 to Dec 2009)**

Quarter	HCA Total		HCA Inpatients		HCA Non Inpatients		HCA MRSA		Community	
	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*
1	3	1.40	3	1.40	0	0.00	1	0.47	3	1.40
2	1	0.47	1	0.47	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	0.95
3	2	0.96	1	0.48	1	0.48	0	0.00	1	0.48
4	1	0.43	0	0.00	1	0.43	0	0.00	0	0.00
5	3	1.27	3	1.27	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.42
6	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.50

* Rate is the number of patients per 1000 Separations

***Clostridium difficile* Infection**

**Table 6 – Numbers and Rates of *Clostridium difficile* infection
(July 2006 to Dec 2009)**

Quarter	Total patients	Rate*
1	22	0.98
2	22	0.99
3	13	0.59
4	19	0.84
5	22	0.92
6	20	0.87
7	29	1.30
8	32	1.38
9	16	0.65
10	26	1.03
11	25	1.03
12	14	0.55
13	22	0.9
14	39	1.6

**Table 7 – Hospital Numbers and Rates of *Clostridium difficile* infection
(July 2006 to Dec 2009)**

Quarter	Hospital A		Hospital B		Hospital C		Hospital D	
	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*	Total patients	Rate*
1	15	1.5	6	0.7	0	0.0	1	0.5
2	14	1.4	6	0.7	2	1.0	0	0.0
3	6	0.6	5	0.6	2	1.0	0	0.0
4	14	1.3	5	0.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
5	17	1.6	3	0.3	1	0.5	1	0.5
6	10	0.9	9	1.0	1	0.5	0	0.0
7	20	2.0	7	0.8	0	0.0	2	1.5
8	23	2.1	4	0.5	3	1.4	2	1.2
9	9	0.8	7	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
10	13	1.1	9	1.0	2	0.9	2	0.9
11	18	1.6	7	0.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
12	9	0.8	5	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
13	11	1.0	9	0.9	1	0.4	1	0.4
14	27	2.4	6	0.7	5	2.3	1	0.5

Staphylococcus aureus Sensitivity Data

(NOT UPDATED FROM SURVEILLANCE REPORT NO 2)

A range of analysis was undertaken on the *Staphylococcus aureus* sensitivity data. A summary of other findings include:

- There is a significant variation between hospitals and the in proportion of MRSA isolates. Isolates from Hospital A were more likely to be MSSA ($p=0.004$) whereas isolates from Hospital B were more likely to be MRSA ($p<0.001$)
- A patient's place of residence within Tasmania was also associated with the type of isolate detected, with patients from the Northern region being more likely to be positive for MRSA ($p<0.001$)
- Specimens taken from males were about twice as likely to be MRSA positive than those from females ($p=0.017$)
- Comparing specimens in patients who were in hospital less than and more than 48 hours, revealed that patients resident in hospital less than 48 hours were statistically less likely to be positive for MRSA than patients patient in hospital greater than 48 hours ($p<0.001$)
 - Data from patients in hospital less than 48 hours was de-duplicated using the same methodology as those in hospital more than 48 hours

Hand Hygiene Compliance Data (November 2009)

Table 8 – Hand hygiene compliance rates by Tasmanian hospital and State level

Hospital	Hand Hygiene Compliance Rate	Lower 95% confidence	Upper 95% Confidence
A	55.9%	54.0%	57.8%
B	63.7%	61.7%	65.7%
C	63.6%	59.7%	67.3%
D	66.5%	59.7%	72.7%
Rural A	68.6%	55.0%	79.7%
Rural B	94.0%	83.8%	97.9%
Rural C	82.7%	70.3%	90.6%
Rural D	61.4%	48.4%	72.9%
Rural E	76.5%	63.2%	86.0%
Rural F	47.1%	34.1%	60.5%

Rural G	46.2%	33.3%	59.5%
Rural H	65.7%	54.0%	75.8%
Rural I	54.4%	41.6%	66.6%
Rural J	53.8%	40.5%	66.7%
Rural K	No data received	No data received	No data received
Rural L	No data received	No data received	No data received
Rural M	No data received	No data received	No data received
Rural N	No data received	No data received	No data received
Tasmanian Rate	60.5	59.3%	61.7%

Table 9 – Tasmanian hand hygiene compliance rates by healthcare worker

Healthcare Worker	Hand Hygiene Compliance Rate	Lower 95% confidence	Upper 95% Confidence
AH – Allied Health	60.3%	55.23%	65.09%
BL – Blood Letter	68.5%	58.30%	77.25%
DR - Doctor	41.8%	38.52%	45.17%
RN- Registered Nurse	66.3%	64.79%	67.74%
O - Other	32.0%	27.06%	37.29%
PSA – Patient Services Assistant (includes cleaners, orderlies)	64.7%	59.65%	69.48%
SAH – Student Allied Health	54.5%	28.01%	78.73%
SDR – Student Doctor	25.0%	7.15%	59.07%
SRN – Student Registered Nurse	62.2%	55.16%	68.72%

Table 10 – Tasmanian hand hygiene compliance rates by moment

Moment	Hand Hygiene Compliance Rate	Lower 95% confidence	Upper 95% Confidence
1	59.0%	56.55%	61.44%
2	51.7%	46.87%	56.57%
3	74.7%	71.27%	77.85%
4	72.1%	70.07%	74.05%
5	43.9%	41.45%	46.35%



Tasmania
Explore the possibilities

**TASMANIAN INFECTION
PREVENTION & CONTROL UNIT**

**Division of Population Health
Department of Health and
Human Services**

**Editors: Brett Mitchell, Dr
Alistair McGregor, Anne Wells
& Saffron Brown**

GPO Box 125, Hobart 7001

Ph: 6222 7779

Fax: 6233 0553

Email: tipcu@dhhs.tas.gov.au